

# TSP HEURISTICS

- Although ingenious exact algorithms for the TSP (e.g., branch and cut) have been proposed, most encounter problems with storage and/or running time for cases with more than 500 nodes
- The largest TSP instance known to have been solved to optimality involved approximately 25,000 nodes and was solved on a cluster of 96 dual processor Intel Xeon 2.8 GHz workstations. The cumulative CPU time was approximately 90 CPU years on a single Intel Xeon 2.8 GHz processor.

## WHY STUDY TSP HEURISTICS

- ◆ Exact procedures are exponential.
- ◆ Heuristics are much faster (polynomial time) and more practical.
- ◆ Many such heuristics can be applied to other problems.


## ASSUMPTIONS

- ◆  $c_{ij} = c_{ji}$  (symmetry)
- ◆  $c_{ij} + c_{jk} \geq c_{ik}$  (triangle inequality)
- ◆ Filled in cost matrix (the network is fully connected)

# TOUR CONSTRUCTION HEURISTICS


## NEAREST NEIGHBOR PROCEDURE

- Step 1. Start with any node as the beginning of a path.
- Step 2. Find the node closest to the last node added to the path.
- Step 3. Repeat Step 2 until all nodes are contained in the path.  
Then, join the first and last nodes.




## NEAREST INSERTION PROCEDURE

- Step 1. Start with a subgraph consisting of node  $i$  only.
- Step 2. Find node  $k$  such that  $c_{ik}$  is minimal and form the subtour  $i - k - i$ .
- Step 3. Selection step. Given a subtour, find node  $k$  not in the subtour closest to any node in the subtour.
- Step 4. Insertion step. Find the arc  $(i, j)$  in the subtour which minimizes  $c_{ik} + c_{kj} - c_{ij}$ . Insert  $k$  between  $i$  and  $j$ .
- Step 5. Go to Step 3 unless we have a Hamiltonian cycle.




## CHEAPEST INSERTION PROCEDURE

- Step 1. Start with a subgraph consisting of node  $i$  only.
- Step 2. Find node  $k$  such that  $c_{ik}$  is minimal and form the subtour  $i - k - i$ .
- Step 3. Find  $(i, j)$  in subtour and  $k$  not, such that  $c_{ik} + c_{kj} - c_{ij}$  is minimal and, then, insert  $k$  between  $i$  and  $j$ .
- Step 4. Go to Step 3 unless we have a Hamiltonian cycle.



## GREATEST ANGLE INSERTION PROCEDURE


- Step 1. Form the convex hull of the set of nodes. The hull gives an initial subtour.
- Step 2. Choose the node  $k^*$  not in the subtour and the arc  $(i^*, j^*)$  in the subtour such that the angle formed by the two arcs  $(i^*, k^*)$  and  $(k^*, j^*)$  is largest possible.
- Step 3. Insert node  $k^*$  in subtour between nodes  $i^*$  and  $j^*$ .
- Step 4. Repeat Steps 2 and 3 until a Hamiltonian cycle is obtained.




Comparison of insertion criteria: **(a)** Initial subtour with potential insertions. **(b)** Greatest angle insertion. **(c)** Cheapest insertion.

## CLARKE AND WRIGHT SAVINGS PROCEDURE

- Step 1. Select any node (say 1) as the starting node.
- Step 2. Compute the savings  $s_{ij} = c_{1i} + c_{1j} - c_{ij}$  for  $i, j \in N - \{1\}$ .
- Step 3. Order the savings from largest to smallest.
- Step 4. Starting at the top of the savings list and moving downward, form larger subtours by linking subtour endpoints  $i$  and  $j$ . Repeat until a tour is formed.




Initial configuration




Nodes  $i$  and  $j$  are linked

## CHRISTOFIDES' HEURISTIC


- Step 1. Find a minimum spanning tree  $T$  of  $G$ .
- Step 2. Identify all the odd-degree nodes in  $T$ . Find a minimum cost perfect matching on the odd-degree nodes using the original cost matrix  $C$ . Add the arcs from the matching solution to the arcs already in  $T$ , obtaining an Eulerian cycle.
- Step 3. Remove all arcs but two incident to nodes with degree greater than 2 by exploiting the triangle inequality, thereby transforming the Eulerian cycle into a Hamiltonian cycle.




(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)

a. MST with odd nodes encircled.

b. Shortest matching of odd nodes.

c. MST plus matching = Eulerian graph.

d. Resulting tour.

# PERFORMANCE OF TOUR CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES


- A recent article compares popular tour construction procedures on a set of 30 Euclidean TSPs from the literature with optimal solutions. They range in size from 105 to 2392 nodes. Surprisingly, the savings heuristic is the best of those tested.

## Quality of tour construction procedures

Heuristic	Average percent above optimality
Nearest neighbor	24.2
Nearest insertion	20.0
Christofides'	19.5
Modified nearest neighbor	18.6
Cheapest insertion	16.8
Random insertion	11.1
Farthest insertion	9.9
Savings	9.8
Modified savings	9.6

# TOUR IMPROVEMENT HEURISTICS


TWO-OPT



## IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

- ◆ First improvement
- ◆ Best Improvement
- ◆ Compromise improvement
- ◆ Accept uphill moves

# THREE-OPT




## THE OROPT EXCHANGE PROCEDURE

- For each connected string of  $s$  nodes in the current tour ( $s$  equals 3 first, then 2, then 1), test to see if the string can be relocated between two other nodes at a reduced cost. If it can, make the appropriate changes.
- Consider all strings of three nodes, all strings of two nodes and then all strings of one node. When no further exchanges improve the solution, the algorithm terminates.

- In practice, three-opt requires about twenty times as much computer execution time as does OROPT on a 100-node problem. Solutions are comparable in terms of accuracy.

### OROPT



# PERFORMANCE OF SOME TOUR IMPROVEMENT PROCEDURES


- A recent article compares several improvement procedures on 30 Euclidean TSPs from the literature with known optimal solutions. These problems range in size from 105 to 2392 nodes. In each case, the initial tour is constructed using a “nearest neighbor” heuristic. The best of the arc-exchange procedures, Iterated Lin-Kernighan, is the most computationally burdensome, but it consistently obtains results that are within 1% of optimality.

## Quality of tour improvement procedures


Heuristic	Average percent above optimality
Two-opt	8.3
Three-opt	3.8
Lin-Kernighan (Variant 1)	1.9
Lin-Kernighan (Variant 2)	1.5
Iterated Lin-Kernighan	0.6

# COMPOSITE PROCEDURES

- In the figure below, we illustrate the concepts of tour construction and tour improvement. In particular, we point out that the hypothetical tour construction sequence of  $i$ - $j$ - $k$  in (a) is improved to obtain  $j$ - $i$ - $k$  in (b).



(a)




(b)

- When we apply a tour construction procedure followed by a tour improvement procedure, we have a composite procedure.

## A Powerful Convex Hull Based Heuristic

- Step 1. Form the convex hull of the set of nodes. The hull gives the initial subtour.
- Step 2. For each node  $k$  not yet contained in the subtour, identify the two adjacent nodes  $i_k$  and  $j_k$  on the subtour such that  $c_{i_k k} + c_{k j_k} - c_{i_k j_k}$  is minimal.
- Step 3. Insert the node  $k^*$  that maximizes the angle between arcs  $(i_{k^*}, k^*)$  and  $(k^*, j_{k^*})$  in the subtour between  $i_{k^*}$  and  $j_{k^*}$ .
- Step 4. Repeat Steps 2 and 3 until a Hamiltonian cycle is obtained.
- Step 5. Apply the OROPT procedure to the tour generated in Steps 1-4. When no further improvements are available, stop.

## EXAMPLE OF CONVEX-HULL BASED HEURISTIC



- (A) Initial subtour and insertions.
- (B) Intermediate subtour and insertions.
- (C) Final tour.

## OTHER INTERESTING HEURISTICS


- ◆ Karp's partitioning heuristic
- ◆ Simulated annealing, Tabu search
- ◆ Genetic algorithms
- ◆ Elastic nets (neural networks)

## RELATED ISSUES


- ◆ Asymmetric TSPs
- ◆ Orienteering variants
- ◆ Arc routing problems

# BACKTRACKING FOR SOLVING TSPs OPTIMALLY


A SMALL TSP EXAMPLE



# EXHAUSTIVE SEARCH WITH DEPTH-FIRST SEARCH







Solution  
1-4-6-7-3-5-2-1  
Cost = 126

No solution

### Branch and Bound

# METAHEURISTICS

- Simulated Annealing
- Deterministic Threshold Accepting
- Deterministic Record-to-Record Travel
- Deterministic Great Deluge
- Tabu Search
- Genetic Algorithms
- Neural Networks

# MOTIVATION BEHIND METAHEURISTICS

